

over 80, in whom the benefits of lowering blood pressure had previously been "inconclusive," Mancia explained.

"Now things have changed with the HYVET data, which showed major benefit, suggesting that we prolong the life of these very old people if we control their BP when BP is elevated," although the trial does have some limitations, he commented.

In terms of choice of drug therapy for hypertension, in 2007 there were five drug classes listed as suitable for initiation of therapy—diuretics, ACE inhibitors, calcium-channel blockers (CCBs), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), and beta blockers.

"Since then, a number of important trials have added new evidence in favor of the protective effects of ACE inhibitors, ARBs, and CCBs and have reinforced the position of these drugs as options to treat hypertension and other conditions such as heart failure and renal disease."

One of the controversies with regard to drug choice has been the debate about the use of beta blockers, he said, with the UK NICE and the British Society of Hypertension removing them from first-, second-, and even third-line choice of treatment in 2006.

"In 2007, the [ESH] committee felt this was not an appropriate decision, as beta blockers were usually employed together with diuretics in virtually all trials, so it was difficult to discriminate what was the favorable or unfavorable role of one drug class or another," he noted. And although there have been negative trials with beta blockers—LIFE and ASCOT—there have also been positive ones, such as HAPPHY, IPPPSH, STOP, INVEST, and UKPDS, he noted.

The totality of evidence now shows different conclusions for different patient populations, he said. "For example, for stroke prevention, beta blockers are inferior to calcium antagonists, but for congestive heart failure prevention, beta blockers are superior to calcium antagonists and similar to other drugs," he noted.

In fact, reducing the emphasis on the step-by-step approach to treatment in general—not recommending particular antihypertensives as first-line, second-line therapy—is another central tenet of the new guidelines, Mancia noted.

"Classifying agents as first choice, second choice, third choice, etc, betrays reference to an average patient who hardly exists in clinical practice," he said, adding: "It is much better to indicate which drug might be preferred in which patient under which circumstance. All drugs have advantages and disadvantages, and we have to try to see in which conditions the advantages of a drug come out."

## But combination therapy remains choice for high-risk individuals

But the new guidance will again stress the importance of using combination therapy first-line in high-risk individuals, as advised in 2007, he said, although new data in the intervening two years are helping to refine these recommendations, he noted.

"In 2007, we took a strong stance in favor of combination treatment. This has been shown again trials such as ACCOMPLISH, ADVANCE, HYVET, ASCOT and ONTARGET are changing the picture. We have to lower BP rather quickly [in these patients] to try to prevent a catastrophe," and more recently, studies have shown there is less discontinuation of treatment in this patient population if treatment is started with combination therapy, Mancia said.

"The evidence is now in favor of giving such patients a blocker of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS)—such as an ACE inhibitor or ARB—with a calcium-channel blocker or diuretic." However, he stressed: "This does not mean that other combinations cannot be used or are not useful."

Another issue that was debated was whether the use of an ACE-inhibitor/ARB combination "should be banned," on the basis of the ONTARGET findings, he noted. But he indicated this would likely not be the case, "because this remains an effective treatment to lower proteinuria compared with single blockade of the RAS system, and this is regarded by nephrologists to be important whenever proteinuria is not reduced sufficiently by one agent.

"But, of course, the data from ONTARGET cannot be forgotten," he stressed, "which means dose titration must be cautious, with frequent monitoring of renal function and BP and close attention to environmental circumstances that might reduce bodily fluids."

## « PREVIOUS HEARTWIRE ARTICLE

Chronic corticosteroid use a risk factor for CV events in kidney graft recipients JUN 12, 2009 17:15 EDT NEXT HEARTWIRE ARTICLE » Proinflammatory and prothrombotic

markers seen in obese kids with no other metabolic syndrome risk factors JUN 15, 2009 12:00 EDT

## Related links

- Give blood pressure drugs to all [Hypertension > Hypertension; May 22, 2009]
- Return of the J-curve: TNT analysis shows that BP can be too low in CAD patients [*Clinical cardiology > Clinical cardiology*; May 08, 2009]
- HYVET: BP lowering reduces fatal stroke, all-cause mortality in the elderly [*HeartWire > Medscape Medical News*; Mar 31, 2008]
- New UK hypertension guidelines omit beta blockers for routine use [HeartWire > News; Jul 06, 2006]

## Your comments

Some surprises in upo	date to European hypertension guidelines?	N
# 1 of 3		1
# 1013	June 20, 2009 02:59 (EDT)	
Dr.Hamdy ALmaghraby	combination of ACEI and ARBS benifits Prof.Dr.Mancia regardless HTN with protinurea, is there any advantage of ACEI + ARBS, for managing uncomplicated HTN and managing congestive heart failure? In practical situation,, do u try that combination? and what was the result? i mean, the benifts deserve that combination? thanks a lot Dr. Hamdy	
# 2 of 3	June 21, 2009 09:56 (EDT)	
vern chichak	combos evidence- based medicine tells me that the addition of a maximal tolerated dose of an ace to a maximal tolerated dose of an arb provides only modest improvement in blood pressure and for this reason I would of course not use the comb of the ace/arb- the situation is somewhat different with chf and proteinuric ckd where the combo obviously deserves some merit and as a evidence-based believer would use the combination	d
# 3 of 3	June 21, 2009 11:17 (EDT)	
vern chichak	guidelines is the j curve best explained in these specific patients being in poorer general health??? a j curve has been demonstrated for reductions of diastolic levels that start above 90 at least caution is needed nonetheless many more pts are threatened by too little reductions in blood pressure than by too much- i doubt that jnc 8 will backtrack their thiazide position after all they often quoted allhat !!!!!	
the right to remove	not intended to supply answers or advice to patients. We reserve posts containing inappropriate language, promotional content, personal ntent, and posts from patients asking for medical advice. Jesus Rueda	
*Comment title *Comment		
	0 / 4000 Submit	
& Blogs & Radio Home   ACS   Arrhyt	Dr. Manesh Patel discusses the latest in pharmacologic strategies     Nobile     Subscribe to RSS feed     Forum     Newsletters	My profile Send Print gery   Lipid/Metabolic   Prevention   Thrombosis
	the HONcode standard Copyright ® 1999-2 health information: verify here.	2010 theheart.org by WebMD. All rights reserved All material on this website is protected by copyrigh About theheart.org   Help   Contact us   Work for us