REVENTE-OF-THE-ART PAPER

Coronary Artery Calcification and its Progression



What Does it Really Mean?

Hiroyoshi Mori, MD,^a Sho Torii, MD,^a Matthew Kutyna, MS,^a Atsushi Sakamoto, MD,^a Aloke V. Finn, MD,^{a,b} Renu Virmani, MD^a

ABSTRACT

Coronary artery calcification is concomitant with the development of advanced atherosclerosis. Coronary artery calcification pathologically begins as microcalcifications (0.5 to 15.0 μ m) and grows into larger calcium fragments, which eventually result in sheet-like deposits (>3 mm). This evolution is observed to occur concurrently with the progression of plaque. These fragments and sheets of calcification can be easily identified by radiography as well as by computed to-mography and intravascular imaging. Many imaging modalities have proposed spotty calcification to be a predictor of unstable plaque and have suggested more extensive calcification to be associated with stable plaques and perhaps the use of statin therapy. We will review the pathology of coronary calcification in humans with a focus on risk factors, relationship with plaque progression, correlation with plaque (in)stability, and effect of pharmacologic interventions. (J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2018;11:127-42) © 2018 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation.

he presence of calcification has been recognized in atherosclerotic coronary arteries for more than a century. Coronary artery calcification (CAC) implies the presence of coronary artery disease (CAD) irrespective of risk factors or symptoms, is concomitant with the development of advanced atherosclerosis (1), and is an established predictor of future cardiac events (2,3). Generally, CAC correlates with the extent of CAD. The presence and extent of CAC can be assessed by various imaging modalities with computed tomography (CT) having the most available correlation with prognostic outcomes data. Both the extent of calcification as well as its pattern has prognostic implications. In general, spotty calcification is more commonly associated with unstable plaques and extensive calcification more so with stable plaques, but the relationship of CAC to plaque instability is extremely complex and incompletely understood. In this review, we will focus on human CAC from a pathologic standpoint and explore its implications with regards to plaque progression and the relationship of the extent and patterns of calcification to plaque morphology as seen pathologically and radiographically. We will also explore the effects of various principle risk factors and pharmacologic interventions on CAC. Pathophysiological

Manuscript received August 10, 2017; revised manuscript received September 29, 2017, accepted October 12, 2017.

From the ^aCardiovascular Pathology Institute, Gaithersburg, Maryland; and the ^bUniversity of Maryland, School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland. This study was supported by CVPath Institute, Inc., a not-for-profit research organization dedicated to the study of cardiovascular disease. Dr. Mori has received honoraria from Terumo Corporation, Abbott Vascular Japan, and Goodman. Dr. Virmani has received research support from 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, ART, BioSensors International, Biotronik, Boston Scientific, Celonova, Claret Medical, Cook Medical, Cordis, Edwards Lifescience, Medtronic, MicroPort, MicroVention, OrbusNeich, ReCore, SINO Medical Technology, Spectranetics, Surmodics, Terumo Corporation, W.L. Gore and Xeltis; and has received honoraria from 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Boston Scientific, Cook Medical, Lutonix, Medtronic, Terumo Corporation and W.L. Gore; and consults with 480 Biomedical, Abbott Vascular, Medtronic, and W.L. Gore. Dr. Finn has sponsored research agreements with Boston Scientific and Medtronic; and is an advisory board member to Medtronic. All other authors have reported that they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ACS = acute coronary syndrome(s)

AMI = acute myocardial infarction

BMP = bone morphogenetic protein

CAC = coronary artery calcification

CHD = coronary heart disease

CT = computed tomography

HU = Hounsfield unit

IVUS = intravascular ultrasonography

OCT = optical coherence tomography

OFDI = optical frequency domain imaging

TCFA = thin-cap fibroatheroma

mechanisms of calcification, as understood from correlating pathology and imaging, will be examined to offer a pathological perspective for predicting risk of future events.

TYPES AND PATHOLOGY OF CALCIFICATION IN ATHEROSCLEROSIS

Vascular calcification can be classified into 2 distinct forms depending on its location within the intima (intimal calcification) or in the vascular medial layer. Medial calcification mostly affects the peripheral arteries of the lower extremities, resulting in the loss of elasticity, and is routinely observed in patients with peripheral vascular disease. Furthermore, the progression of arterial medial calcification is reported to be associated with renal failure, hypercalcemia, hyperphosphatemia, parathyroid hormone abnormalities, and duration of dialysis. Meanwhile, intimal calcification is the dominant type of calcification seen in coronary arteries. Therefore, we will mainly focus our discussion on intimal calcification in this article.

The earliest form of CAC is microcalcification seen in lesions with pathological intimal thickening with a size ranging from 0.5 to 15.0 µm (4-6), observed best by special stains for calcium such as von Kossa and Alizarine red (Figure 1A). Within the lipid pool, early microcalcification is visible by light microscopy and is thought to originate from smooth muscle cell (SMC) apoptosis (4,6,7). Initial calcification occurring in matrix vesicles with a diameter from 100 to 700 nm can only be observed by electron microscopic examination (8). In addition to SMC apoptosis, macrophage-derived matrix vesicles also play a role in the process of microcalcification (9). SMC apoptosis leads to fine microcalcifications, whereas apoptotic macrophages produce relatively larger punctate appearance (Figures 1B and 1C). These calcific deposits are commonly seen in the deeper areas of necrotic core close to internal elastic lamina (Figure 1D and 1E). Microcalcifications coalesce into larger masses over time to form speckles and fragments of calcifications. The progression of calcification occurs from the outer rim of the necrotic core into the surrounding collagenous matrix (Figure 1F); the central core may or may not become calcified at this stage. Further progression of calcification leads to calcified plaques typically with calcified sheets or plates (>1 quadrant), involving SMCs and collagenous matrix regardless of the necrotic core (Figure 1G and 1H). Calcified sheets may fracture leading to the formation of nodular calcification (Figure 1I). These nodules may extend into the lumen or the media and can be associated with fibrin deposition. These protuberant nodules can lead to discontinuity of the endothelial lining and underlying collagen matrix, and acute luminal thrombosis. The calcified nodule is the underlying mechanism of acute coronary events in 2% to 7% of coronary artery thrombosis (10) and 4% to 14% of the carotid artery thrombosis (11) in pathological studies.

Bone formation (12) comprising trabecula with marrow space is rarely seen in CAD within calcific regions (Figure 1J). When observed, it is usually seen in heavily calcified segments of the arterial wall suggesting that osteogenesis might be exclusively associated with severe arterial calcification. In amputated lower limbs due to peripheral artery disease, the incidence of bone formation has been reported to be as high as 19% (13). It has been suggested that the process of arterial calcification shares some features with skeletal bone formation, including chondrocyte and osteoblast differentiation, mineralization, and bone matrix deposition and resorption. Bone-related proteins such as bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)-1 and BMP-4, bone sialoprotein, osteocalcin, osteonectin, osteopontin, and osteoprotegerin have been identified in calcified arteries (14,15). In early coronary plaques, osteoprotegerin, osteopontin, and matrix Gla protein have been reported at sites of microcalcification; uncarboxylated matrix Gla protein expression is not seen in adaptive intimal thickening (AIT) but evolves with the development of pathologic intimal thickening (PIT) and fibroatheromas (15). BMP-2, BMP-4, osteopontin, and osteonectin are more prevalent in lesions with bone formation in fibrocalcific plaques. Although bonerelated protein expression exists from early stages of plaque formation, the precise mechanisms of calcification or osteogenesis in atherosclerosis have remained uncertain.

There are various stimuli in the initiation and progression of calcification which may differ depending upon the stage of plaque as well as the surrounding milieu. As alluded to above, we believe that the death of SMCs is the driving force for early microcalcification (1). This is followed by infiltration of macrophages into the lipid pool which also undergoes cell death and calcification. Cell death provides phospholipid-rich debris that serves to nucleate apatite, a process that starts within lipid pools and progresses with inflammation and further cell death, leading to the development of a necrotic core.



Environmental factors such as elevated calcium or phosphorus promotes apatite nucleation and crystal growth (16). Although other theories of the mechanisms underlying vascular calcification have been proposed, some are more clearly relevant to the process of medial rather than intimal calcification (17). Loss of inhibitors of mineralization mainly promote medial calcification in animal models, whereas osteogenic mechanisms involving bone forming proteins such as osteopontin, collagen type I, osteoprotegerin, and so on, have been proposed to play a role. However, actual bone formation inside the vessel wall is



Continued on the next page

rarely observed in human coronary arteries (18,19). How calcium becomes fragmented or sheet calcium is poorly understood, although by the time the latter is observed inflammation is minimal. We believe the development of sheet calcium stabilizes plaques. Although vascular SMCs can undergo osteogenic transformation into osteoblast-like cells, such changes have rarely been observed in human atherosclerosis (16). Factors such as intraplaque hemorrhage have been associated with calcification and may exacerbate its progression, although further work is needed to understand how this might occur (20).

Overall, calcification patterns vary widely depending on the location. The peripheral arteries tend to have greater collagen deposition and calcification and show Mönckeberg type medial calcification in addition to intimal sclerosis. On the other hand, some arterial beds, such as the internal thoracic artery, are reported to be resistant to atherosclerosis and also to calcification (21).

NATURAL PROGRESSION OF CALCIFICATION WITH PLAQUE TYPE

Calcification progresses with plaque type as well as with degree of luminal narrowing (1). Calcification is often absent or minimal in early lesions such as adaptive intimal thickening or pathological intimal thickening. Plaque type and complexity increases (Figure 2A) with greater degrees of luminal narrowing as do the prevalence and area of calcification (Figure 2B). The pattern and extent of calcification, however, does not correlate linearly with the necrotic core size and tends to differ sharply by plaque type (Figure 2C). In fibroatheroma, thin-cap fibroatheraoma (TCFA), and rupture, necrotic core area increases progressively but calcification may or may not increase proportionately. However, in healed ruptures and fibrocalcific plaques, calcification area increases out of proportion to necrotic core area as the vessel narrows. In classification by matched radiography and histology, diffuse calcification correlated with sheet calcification by histology and is frequently observed in healed ruptures and fibrocalcific plaques (Figures 3 and 4). On the other hand, TCFA and rupture have varying degrees of calcification with less diffuse calcification by radiography (Figure 3) and lower sheet calcification by histology (Figure 4). Although erosion is 1 of the major causes of coronary thrombosis (22), calcification level is clearly different from TCFA/rupture showing much less advanced calcification.

AGE, SEX, AND CALCIFICATION

It is well known that sex affects the development of atherosclerosis and that in females the disease is delayed by 10 to 15 years compared to males, which is likely due to the protective effects of estrogens in the pre-menopausal years (23). In a sub-study of the Women's Health Initiative, 1,064 females aged 50 to 59 years randomized to estrogen therapy or placebo showed a significantly lower mean CAC score in the estrogen group (83.1) compared to placebo (123.1; p = 0.02). The CADRE (Coeur Artères DREpanocytose) study evaluated the extent of CAC in 108 human hearts explanted from victims of sudden cardiac death including 70 men (mean age 50 \pm 12 years) and 38 women (mean age 50 \pm 12 years) using a semiquantitative radiographic scale (grade 0 = no calcification; 1 = calcification <40 μ m; 2 = calcification >40 μ m in diameter involving only 1 quadrant; 3 = calcification >40 μ m in 2 quadrants; 4 = calcification >40 μ m in 3 quadrants; 5 =calcification >40 μ m in all 4 quadrants) (24). When divided by decades, the extent of calcification was greater in males compared to females up to the 6th decade but became similar by the 7th decade (1). We have also observed that the degree of calcification was 3 times greater in postmenopausal females versus premenopausal females (25).

RACE AND CALCIFICATION

There is a strong racial variation in degree of CAC which might underlie important differences in clinical outcomes. In the MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) study (26), a total of 6,814 whites, African Americans, Hispanic, and Chinese people aged 45 to 84 years with no history of clinical cardiovascular disease were assessed for coronary calcification. The prevalence of coronary calcification (Agatston score >0) in these 4 ethnic groups in males was 70.4%, 52.1%, 56.5%, and 59.2% (p < 0.001), respectively, and in females was 44.6%, 36.5%, 34.9%, and 41.9% (p < 0.001), respectively. After adjustment for age, education, lipids, body mass index, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, treatment for hypercholesterolemia, sex, and scanning center, compared with whites, the relative risks for having coronary calcification in women was 0.78 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.74 to 0.82) for African Americans, 0.85 (95% CI: 0.79 to 0.91) for Hispanics, and 0.92 (95% CI: 0.85 to 0.99) for Chinese. After similar adjustments, the CAC in those with an Agatston score >0 was greatest among whites, followed by Chinese (77% that of whites; 95% CI: 62% to 96%), Hispanics (74% that of whites; 95% CI: 61% to 90%), and African Americans (69% that of whites; 95% CI: 59% to 80%). Similar to this and other studies (27,28) in CADRE, we have observed that the extent of CAC in explanted hearts was greater in Caucasians versus African Americans for every decade. Several possible explanations have been forwarded for the relatively higher CAC in Caucasians such as the bone mineral density and bone turnover. Bone loss has been associated with abdominal aortic calcification (29). African Americans have higher bone mineral density compared to whites (p < 0.001 for total body;p = 0.0001 for L2-L4, and p = 0.0005 for femur neck region) (27) implying that perhaps lower bone turnover might be the cause of lower arterial calcification. Speculating specific race-related genes to account for these differences, microarray gene expression profiling of peripheral blood leukocytes was undertaken in 119 healthy women (\geq 50 years old) from the MESA cohort; 48 women had high (>100) and 71 had low (<10) CAC scores. When 17 African Americans were compared with 41 white women with low CAC score, 409 genes were found to be differentially expressed. In addition, 316 genes were differentially expressed between high (>100) and low CAC (<10) score groups. Genes characterized by lower expression in African Americans showed similarly lower expression in individuals with low CAC score (correlation 0.69; p = 0.002) (28).

Polymorphisms of the soluble epoxide hydrolase gene were reported to be associated with CAC scores in African-American subjects; although the minor allele frequency was similar between blacks (16.7%) and whites (19.3%). The Arg287Gln polymorphism was a significant independent predictor of both presence and extent of CAC in African-American but not in Caucasian subjects (30). However, the precise genetic impact of race on calcification remains uncertain.

FIGURE 2 Continued

(A) Data are stratified by decades. Used with permission from Burke et al. (1,24). (B) Prevalence of various coronary plaque morphologies at 10% incremental cross-sectional area narrowing in sudden coronary death victims. Data presented as each 10% increase in narrowing. Used with permission from Burke et al. (1,24). (C) Pink bar graph shows the percent area luminal narrowing divided into severe (>75% cross-sectional area narrowing), moderate (50% to 75%), and mild (<50%) luminal narrowing stratified by plaque type. Mean necrotic core (yellow circles) and calcification area (blue circles) are also shown for each percent narrowing. The data for fibroatheroma, TCFA, and rupture were obtained (n = 213). Reproduced with permission from Narula et al. (73). The data of healed rupture and fibrocalcific plaque were obtained (n = 30). Reproduced with permission from Burke et al. (24). AIT = adaptive intimal thickening; TCFA = thin-cap fibroatheroma; other abbreviation as in Figure 1.



DIABETES AND CALCIFICATION

CAC tends to be higher in diabetic patients, which correlates with total plaque burden and represents an independent risk factor for adverse outcomes (31,32). Chronic kidney disease can be comorbid with diabetes is also a risk factor for CAC (33). The role of glycosylated hemoglobin on CAC progression was evaluated in 2,076 patients using noncontrast CT at baseline and after 5 years of follow-up (34), wherein the incident CAC increase was categorized as any (>10 Agatston unit change between examinations) or advanced (>100 Agatston unit change between examinations) (34). Higher glycosylated hemoglobin was associated with any incident CAC progression (RR = 1.51; 95% CI: 1.16 to 1.96), and advanced CAC progression (RR = 2.42; 95% CI: 1.47 to 3.99) (34).

We have previously observed hearts from diabetic subjects and age, race, and sex-matched nondiabetic subjects dying suddenly (35). Total plaque burden and distal plaque burden were significantly greater in type II diabetic subjects versus nondiabetic subjects, which can be partially explained by the fact that the numbers of healed plaque ruptures were greater in type II diabetic subjects (2.6 vs. 1.9; p = 0.04) (36).



The mean percent calcified area was greater in type II diabetic subjects (12.1%) versus nondiabetic subjects (9.4%; p = 0.05). The mean percent plaque area composed of necrotic core was also greater in type II diabetic subjects (11.6%) compared with nondiabetic subjects (9.4%; p = 0.004). Macrophage plaque area and T-cell infiltration were significantly greater in diabetic than nondiabetic patients (p = 0.03), along with human leukocyte antigen, type DR (HLA-DR) expression (p < 0.0001), which indicates the involvement of greater chronic inflammation in diabetic subjects. Expression of receptor for advanced glycation end product was also significantly greater in diabetic subjects (p = 0.004) and was associated with apoptotic SMCs and macrophages.

CALCIFICATION WITH PLAQUE STABILITY

CAC is strongly associated with adverse outcomes in all the populations studied so far, and it is a far better marker of future events than all the risk factors or risk equations to date. What is not clear so far, however, is whether this is related to the calcified plaque itself as the source of the events or whether calcified plaques just predict the presence of CAD (hence risk) most accurately. Perhaps the most crucial clinical question regarding CAC is whether its presence predicts plaque instability or stability. Pathologic studies have inherent limitations for answering this question, but a combination of coronary imaging and pathology has provided some preliminary answers. It appears that a physician cannot treat CAC as an all-or-none variable; the type of calcification, its location, its extent, as well as its volume and density have differential effects on clinical risk and outcomes (37). This is what creates the controversy about whether it is the presence of CAC or its progression (implying need for serial measurements clinically) that is most important for predicting risk and outcomes (38,39).

In general, spotty calcification seems to predict plaque instability, whereas heavy calcification correlates with overall plaque burden. Serial intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) studies have reported that spotty calcification was associated with greater progression of plaque volume compared to noncalcified plaques, whereas heavily calcified plaques were resistant to change in plaque volume (40,41). Spotty calcification by CT angiography was more frequently observed in patients presenting with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or likely to develop ACS in the short term when the plaques also showed positive remodeling and low-attenuation (42). In our Coronary Artery Sudden Death Registry, we have observed maximum histological calcification in fibrocalcific plaques followed by healed plaque rupture, plaque rupture, TCFA, and minimally in plaque erosion (24) (Figures 3 and 4). The relationship between percent stenosis in each of these plaque types, mean calcium area, and necrotic core (Figure 2C) revealed that fibrocalcific plaques were more heavily calcified with increasing luminal stenosis followed by healed ruptures. Only mild increases in calcium occurred with luminal narrowing for all other plaque types. Conversely, core area was greater as stenosis increased in fibroatheromas, TCFA, and plaque rupture. These data affirm that calcium burden is heaviest in stable plaques compared with the unstable plaques and show an inverse relationship with necrotic core area.

Another aspect worth mentioning is microcalcification within TCFA. Microcalcification is known to be present in thin cap, which is not visible by current in vivo imaging (5,6,43,44). The pioneering work of Vengrenyuk et al. (5) attributed cap rupture to microcalcification within the cap (6). It is thought that microcalcifications act as local tissue stress concentrators within the fibrous cap predisposing towards rupture (44). Although we agree that some ruptures are associated with cap microcalcification, this is not a uniform finding in plaque ruptures.

We have also assessed overall calcification level in whole heart radiographs as none (0%), mild (<5%), moderate (>5% to \leq 20%), and severe (>20%), similar to the coronary calcium scoring pattern. Major plaque types per patient were selected based on the cause of death and stratified by level of calcification as detected by radiography (Figure 5). Rupture has predominantly mild to moderate calcification with the least calcification seen in erosions. However, the proportion of stable plaque (PIT, TCFA, and fibrocalcific plaque) was similar in none, mild, and moderate calcification groups, but greatest in the severe calcification group. In another autopsy study (45) of 510 coronary artery segments from 17 acute myocardial infarction (AMI) deaths, calcification was compared with 450 coronary segments from 15 agematched noncardiac deaths. Calcification involved more coronary artery segments in AMI (47%) versus controls (25%), with higher calcification area in AMI patients. The degree of calcification in TCFA and ruptured plaques was significantly less than the stable, including PIT, TCFA, and fibrocalcific plaques. The extent of calcification correlated inversely with fibrous cap inflammation, but calcification was not identified as an independent determinant of unstable plaques in multivariate analysis. These data suggested that CAC score evaluation might be useful to detect generic risk of adverse coronary events in a population (because its presence suggests atherosclerosis), but it is not useful specifically to prospectively identify a culprit lesion. The relationship between calcification and plaque stability seems to differ according to age (46). Unstable lesions including plaque rupture or TCFA in subjects in their 4th decade showed higher calcification area than stable lesions but were not different during the 5th and 6th decades of life. By the 7th decade, greater calcification was observed in the stable plaques (47). Therefore, CAC could be more of a determinant of plaque instability in younger individuals.

PROGRESSION IN RESPONSE TO PHARMACOLOGIC INTERVENTION

High intensive statin therapy has been shown to be associated with plaque volume reduction by serial IVUS imaging studies (48-50). Puri et al. (51) assessed calcification as well as plaque volume by IVUS in patients receiving high-intensity statin therapy (HIST) (n = 1,545, defined as atorvastatin 80 mg or rosuvastatin 40 mg), low-intensity statin therapy (LIST) (n = 1,726, defined as atorvastatin <40 mg orrosuvastatin <20 mg, simvastatin <40 mg, pravastatin <80 mg, lovastatin <20 mg, and fluvastatin <40 mg), and no-statin therapy (n = 224) (51). HIST was associated with percent atheroma volume regression from baseline (-0.6%), whereas both LIST and no-statin therapy were associated with percent atheroma volume progression (+0.8%, +1.0%; p < 0.001 for all). Calcium was assessed by calcium indices (= [total number of analyzed frame with any calcium/total number of analyzed frames] × [maximal arc of calcium/4]). Intriguingly, significant increases in calcium indices from baseline were noted across all groups (median for HIST, +0.044; LIST, +0.038; nostatin, +0.020; p < 0.001 for all), which could relate to statin therapy (p = 0.03 for LIST vs. no-statin; p = 0.007 for HIST vs. no-statin; p = 0.18 for HIST vs. LIST). In other studies (52-54), statins have also been shown to be a risk factor for calcium progression. On the other hand, some CT studies showed conflicting



data regarding the effect of statin therapies on coronary calcium burden (55-57). The differences in patient background, the amount of agent, and study duration are all factors that might explain these conflicting results. Although both IVUS and CT have limitations in detecting calcium precisely and basic molecular mechanisms by which statins enhance plaque calcification are still under investigation, we do believe that statins may increase coronary calcification perhaps through calcification of the necrotic core which would tend to stabilize these plaques and reduce coronary events. Consequently, the CAC score calculated by CT might not be as precise in prognosis once plaque-altering treatment such as statins are initiated. This area of research deserves further attention.

INTRAVASCULAR IMAGING AND CALCIFICATION

Intravascular imaging using IVUS or optical coherence tomography (OCT) are also able to assess CAC (58,59). OCT or optical frequency domain imaging (OFDI) can detect sheets of calcification as indicated by signal poor dropout with sharp borderline. OCT-detected calcium can be confused with lipid or necrotic core,



with fragmented (**D**) and sheet (**E**) of calcium. OFDI performed in the same artery shows signal attenuation associated with lipid tissue (4 to 9 o'clock) (**F**), whereas it is less apparent by IVUS (**G**). IVUS shows presence of calcium (**G**) with acoustic shadow (10 to 12 o'clock), whereas it is less apparent by OFDI (**F**) due to necrotic core within calcium (**E**). (**H** to **M**) Images from a 67-year-old male who died suddenly. **H** (low power) and **I** (high power) are radiographs showing diffuse calcification in proximal left circumflex. Corresponding histologic images in **J (red line**, **I**) and **K** (high power) show fibrocalcific plaque with nodular calcification. OFDI in **L** shows discreet surface border of sheet calcification (2 to 5 o'clock) and 7 to 10 o'clock) and the calcified nodule mimics red thrombus appearance (5 to 7 o'clock). IVUS in **L** shows wide angle of calcification with acoustic shadowing (3 to 9 o'clock). (**J to M**) Images were obtained and reproduced with permission from Nakano et al. (58). IVUS = intravascular ultrasonography; OFDI = optical frequency domain imaging; other abbreviations as in **Figure 1**.

although the signal-poor regions of calcium are sharply delineated whereas the signal-poor regions of lipid or a necrotic core have poorly defined or diffuse borders (Figure 5). Although little information is available about different patterns of calcification, it seems that sheet calcification is what is most likely seen by this technology (60,61). The appearance of nodular calcification is very different from sheet calcification (Figure 6) and shows heterogeneous signal with high attenuation presumably due to fibrin interposed between nodules (60,61). When the nodule protrudes, which we call calcified nodule, the lumen surface appears irregular (60).

The IVUS appearance of calcium is echo dense (hyperechoic) plaque that is brighter than the surrounding reference tissue (**Figure 6**). However, it is limited by acoustic shadow cast by the calcified plaque (60,62). In an in vitro study examining IVUS



imaging of human coronary artery explants, IVUS did not detect calcium in 14.8% of plaques containing histopathologic calcium. Reasons for this were deep calcium hidden behind large necrotic cores that produced echo attenuation, and the presence of microcalcific deposits. Nodular calcification can also be detected by using IVUS. Evaluation of the radial (arc) and longitudinal (length) extent is feasible by IVUS examination, but the assessment of thickness (depth) is not (40,41,60).

Assessing plaque progression or regression using gray scale IVUS or OCT is increasingly being used in clinical trials. Even though there are limitations of calcium assessment using these tools as stated above,



REVERSAL (Reversal of Atherosclerosis With Aggressive Lipid Lowering) and CAMELOT (Comparison of Amlodipine Versus Enalapril to Limit Occurrences of Thrombosis) studies reported that plaques with more calcium were more resistant to change than plaques with less calcium that were likely to progress or regress in response to pharmacologic interventions (40). On the other hand, the SATURN (Study of Coronary Atheroma by Intravascular Ultrasound: Effect of Rosuvastatin Versus Atorvastatin) trial showed an increase in dense calcium volume in 71 patients treated with high-dose rosuvastatin or atorvastatin for 24 months (63). Two other studies using IVUS with radiofrequency analyses (using virtual histology IVUS) showed that nontreated, fibrocalcific lesions did not progress during the 12 months observation period and were associated with lack of adverse events during 3-year follow-up (64,65). However, a recent meta-analysis and systematic review of the impact of statin therapy on coronary plaque composition as detected by virtual histology IIVUS

suggested a significant increase in dense calcium only in patients taking statins (54). The discrepancy in the result of these trials may be caused by differences in patient backgrounds, amount and duration of pharmacological interventions, as well as difficulty in assessment of calcium in IVUS. Moreover, the limited resolution of IVUS for detection of changes in calcium makes it less than ideal as a tool to monitor calcium progression or regression.

CT AND CALCIFICATION

CT is the most important noninvasive tool to detect CAC. Calcium scoring, mainly the Agatston score, has been used to predict relative future risk of cardiovascular events in both asymptomatic and symptomatic persons (66). The Agatston score is a summed score of all calcified lesions that considers total calcified area and maximum density of calcification (>130 Hounsfield units [HU]). Although other methods of scoring have been used, the Agatston



IVUS = intravascular ultrasound; OCT = optical coherence tomography; PIT = pathologic intimal thickening; TCFA = thin-cap fibroatheroma.

score is the gold standard because of its simplicity. The link between CAC and cardiovascular events occurs in a step-wise fashion and can be used to stratify risk for future coronary events. A low CAC score is also associated with decrease in overall and cancerspecific mortality. Thus, guidelines from around the world recommended CAC assessment to improve clinical risk prediction in appropriately selected asymptomatic individuals, especially those with an intermediate Framingham Risk Score of 5% to 20% (67).

It has been shown that presence of minimal CAC (i.e., CAC score 1 to 10) increases the risk of experiencing a coronary heart disease (CHD) event by 3-fold as compared with the absence of CAC. The hazard ratio for all-cause mortality was 1.99 (33). Moreover, progression of CAC adds additional further predictive value and closely correlates with advancement of cardiovascular risk (68). Also, it has been shown that presence of diabetes increases cardiovascular disease risk; therefore, it may be prudent to add the CAC score to the mix of risk factors for predicting future events (69). The disconnect between calcium as a marker of stable fibrocalcific plaque and overall cardiovascular risk can be explained by the fact that higher CAC scores correlate with overall plaque burden. Patients with very high CAC scores have extensive coronary plaque burden with high likelihood of having stress-induced ischemia. Separate from the easily discovered heavily calcified plaque as detected by CT, the roles of noncalcified plaque and mixed plaques in determining future events should not be ignored.

A recent clinical CT study examined the predictive value of both calcium density (standard CAC Agatston score) and plaque calcium density. Although CAC was positively and independently associated with CHD and cardiovascular risk, at any level of CAC volume, CAC density was inversely and significantly associated with both CHD and cardiovascular risk (3). Similarly, the number of calcified coronary lesions and its pattern (diffuse vs. concentrated) enhanced risk prediction. These data suggest that further refinement of CAC scores may be warranted (66).

The emergence of multidetector CT has also spurred interest in noninvasive detection of vulnerable plaques (70). The characteristics of vulnerable coronary plaque are previously reported as positive vascular remodeling, low plaque density (<30 HU), napkin-ring sign, and spotty calcification (42,71). Although the presence of microcalcification strongly correlates with plaque instability, the present resolution of CT is still insufficient to detect its presence.

Currently, we have tried to identify plaque types more precisely using high-resolution micro CT (XTH 225 ST PE1621 EHS system, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) on human coronary arteries obtained at autopsy. We have observed greater details of the plaque by micro CT imaging. Contrast with iodine gives greater clarity of the soft tissue and calcium. Figure 7 shows micro CT images of calcified necrotic core showing a good correlation with histology. Not only cholesterol clefts but also outline of adventitial adipocytes was visible. Figure 8 shows micro CT images of a heavy calcified coronary artery. Micro CT could clearly distinguish nodular calcification from sheet calcification, which is not possible with radiography alone. It goes without saying that getting these higher resolution images is much easier at the autopsy setting than in living patients. We were able to see the lumen, fragmented, sheet, and nodular calcification as well as necrotic core including cholesterol clefts and fibrous cap, which cannot be appreciated by other methods such as CT or radiography. Clinically, if image quality could be improved to this level, our understanding of atherosclerosis would be greatly enhanced; such advancement might improve diagnostic accuracy for the stratification of high-risk patients in daily clinical practice.

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

CAC is an important facet of CAD and a marker of overall disease burden. Early detection of CAC in younger subjects has important prognostic impact in terms of predicting future CHD risk (72). However, on a per patient basis, we cannot reliably predict who will have an event and when and where these will occur. When it comes to older patients, the proportion of fibrocalcific plaque and healed plaque rupture is higher, giving such plaques a higher calcium score. Some of these plaques may remain stable, and/or may develop severe stenosis without thrombosis, or go on to form calcified nodule resulting in thrombosis (Central Illustration). Using calcium to predict risk of future events on a per patient basis is not straightforward. As mentioned above, in the MESA study, CAC volume was associated with increased cardiovascular risk, although at any level of CAC volume, CAC density was inversely associated with the risk (3). It is possible that the effect of plaque stabilization by statins might be partially explained by enhancement of calcification in plaque necrotic core, although the basic mechanism is still unclear. Further investigation is required. From a pathological point of view, just looking at CAC score is not enough to understand the complexity of coronary disease, although it is very practical clinically. We believe presence of calcium (small, fragmented, spotty) is a better predictor of unstable plaque; however, heavy calcium (diffuse, fibrocalcific plaques, sheet of calcium) is a better predictor of stable plaque. To obtain a more accurate prediction, a new CAC scoring system based on higher resolution CT imaging than is currently available is warranted. Particular focus should be directed towards collecting information regarding early calcification consistent with higher risk for unstable coronary syndromes versus those with more diffuse calcification seen more frequently in stable disease.

ADDRESS FOR CORRESPONDENCE: Dr. Aloke V. Finn, Cardiovascular Pathology Institute, University of Maryland, 19 Firstfield Road, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20878. E-mail: afinn@cvpath.org.

141

REFERENCES

1. Otsuka F, Sakakura K, Yahagi K, Joner M, Virmani R. Has our understanding of calcification in human coronary atherosclerosis progressed? Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2014;34:724–36.

 Greenland P, LaBree L, Azen SP, Doherty TM, Detrano RC. Coronary artery calcium score combined with Framingham score for risk prediction in asymptomatic individuals. JAMA 2004;291:210-5.

3. Criqui MH, Denenberg JO, Ix JH, et al. Calcium density of coronary artery plaque and risk of incident cardiovascular events. JAMA 2014;311: 271-8.

4. Kockx MM, De Meyer GR, Muhring J, Jacob W, Bult H, Herman AG. Apoptosis and related proteins in different stages of human atherosclerotic plaques. Circulation 1998;97:2307-15.

5. Vengrenyuk Y, Carlier S, Xanthos S, et al. A hypothesis for vulnerable plaque rupture due to stress-induced debonding around cellular microcalcifications in thin fibrous caps. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:14678-83.

6. Kelly-Arnold A, Maldonado N, Laudier D, Aikawa E, Cardoso L, Weinbaum S. Revised microcalcification hypothesis for fibrous cap rupture in human coronary arteries. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2013;110:10741-6.

7. Kapustin AN, Shanahan CM. Calcium regulation of vascular smooth muscle cell-derived matrix vesicles. Trends Cardiovasc Med 2012;22:133-7.

8. Tanimura A, McGregor DH, Anderson HC. Calcification in atherosclerosis. I. Human studies. J Exp Pathol 1986;2:261-73.

9. New SE, Goettsch C, Aikawa M, et al. Macrophage-derived matrix vesicles: an alternative novel mechanism for microcalcification in atherosclerotic plaques. Circ Res 2013;113:72-7.

10. Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, Farb A, Schwartz SM. Lessons from sudden coronary death: a comprehensive morphological classification scheme for atherosclerotic lesions. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2000:20:1262–75.

11. Mauriello A, Sangiorgi GM, Virmani R, et al. A pathobiologic link between risk factors profile and morphological markers of carotid instability. Atherosclerosis 2010;208:572-80.

12. Buerger L, Oppenheimer A. Bone formation in sclerotic arteries. J Exp Med 1908;10:354–67.

13. Soor GS, Vukin I, Leong SW, Oreopoulos G, Butany J. Peripheral vascular disease: who gets it and why? A histomorphological analysis of 261 arterial segments from 58 cases. Pathology 2008; 40:385-91.

14. Dhore CR, Cleutjens JP, Lutgens E, et al. Differential expression of bone matrix regulatory proteins in human atherosclerotic plaques. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2001;21:1998-2003.

15. Roijers RB, Debernardi N, Cleutjens JP, Schurgers LJ, Mutsaers PH, van der Vusse GJ. Microcalcifications in early intimal lesions of atherosclerotic human coronary arteries. Am J Pathol 2011;178:2879-87.

16. Yahagi K, Kolodgie FD, Lutter C, et al. Pathology of Human coronary and carotid artery atherosclerosis and vascular calcification in diabetes mellitus. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2017;37:191-204.

17. Block GA, Port FK. Re-evaluation of risks associated with hyperphosphatemia and hyperparathyroidism in dialysis patients: recommendations for a change in management. Am J Kidney Dis 2000;35:1226-37.

18. Luo G, Ducy P, McKee MD, et al. Spontaneous calcification of arteries and cartilage in mice lacking matrix GLA protein. Nature 1997;386: 78–81.

19. Zhou X, Cui Y, Han J. Phosphate/ pyrophosphate and MV-related proteins in mineralisation: discoveries from mouse models. Int J Biol Sci 2012;8:778-90.

20. Lin R, Chen S, Liu G, Xue Y, Zhao X. Association between carotid atherosclerotic plaque calcification and intraplaque hemorrhage: a magnetic resonance imaging study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2017;37:1228–33.

21. Otsuka F, Yahagi K, Sakakura K, Virmani R. Why is the mammary artery so special and what protects it from atherosclerosis? Ann Cardiothorac Surg 2013;2:519–26.

22. Farb A, Burke AP, Tang AL, et al. Coronary plaque erosion without rupture into a lipid core. A frequent cause of coronary thrombosis in sudden coronary death. Circulation 1996;93:1354–63.

23. Williams JK, Adams MR, Klopfenstein HS. Estrogen modulates responses of atherosclerotic coronary arteries. Circulation 1990;81:1680-7.

24. Burke AP, Weber DK, Kolodgie FD, Farb A, Taylor AJ, Virmani R. Pathophysiology of calcium deposition in coronary arteries. Herz 2001;26: 239–44.

25. Burke AP, Farb A, Malcom G, Virmani R. Effect of menopause on plaque morphologic characteristics in coronary atherosclerosis. Am Heart J 2001;141:S58–62.

26. Bild DE, Detrano R, Peterson D, et al. Ethnic differences in coronary calcification: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). Circulation 2005;111:1313–20.

27. Henry YM, Eastell R. Ethnic and gender differences in bone mineral density and bone turnover in young adults: effect of bone size. Osteoporos Int 2000;11:512-7.

28. Huang CC, Lloyd-Jones DM, Guo X, et al. Gene expression variation between African Americans and whites is associated with coronary artery calcification: the multiethnic study of atheroscle-rosis. Physiol Genomics 2011;43:836-43.

29. Kiel DP, Kauppila LI, Cupples LA, Hannan MT, O'Donnell CJ, Wilson PW. Bone loss and the progression of abdominal aortic calcification over a 25 year period: the Framingham Heart Study. Calcif Tissue Int 2001;68:271-6.

30. Fornage M, Boerwinkle E, Doris PA, Jacobs D, Liu K, Wong ND. Polymorphism of the soluble epoxide hydrolase is associated with coronary artery calcification in African-American subjects: The Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults (CARDIA) study. Circulation 2004;109: 335-9.

31. Rumberger JA, Simons DB, Fitzpatrick LA, Sheedy PF, Schwartz RS. Coronary artery calcium area by electron-beam computed tomography and coronary atherosclerotic plaque area. A histo-pathologic correlative study. Circulation 1995;92: 2157-62.

32. Raggi P, Shaw LJ, Berman DS, Callister TQ. Prognostic value of coronary artery calcium screening in subjects with and without diabetes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:1663–9.

33. Alexopoulos N, Raggi P. Calcification in atherosclerosis. Nat Rev Cardiol 2009;6:681-8.

34. Carson AP, Steffes MW, Carr JJ, et al. Hemoglobin a1c and the progression of coronary artery calcification among adults without diabetes. Diabetes Care 2015;38:66–71.

35. Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, Zieske A, et al. Morphologic findings of coronary atherosclerotic plaques in diabetics: a postmortem study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2004;24:1266-71.

36. Burke AP, Kolodgie FD, Farb A, et al. Healed plaque ruptures and sudden coronary death: evidence that subclinical rupture has a role in plaque progression. Circulation 2001;103:934-40.

37. Shaw LJ, Narula J, Chandrashekhar Y. The never-ending story on coronary calcium: is it predictive, punitive, or protective? J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1283-5.

38. Wong ND, Nelson JC, Granston T, et al. Metabolic syndrome, diabetes, and incidence and progression of coronary calcium: the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis study. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2012;5:358–66.

39. Shaw LJ, Chandrashekhar Y, Narula J. Progression of coronary calcium scores: harder gets the evidence. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2012;5:465-6.

40. Nicholls SJ, Tuzcu EM, Wolski K, et al. Coronary artery calcification and changes in atheroma burden in response to established medical therapies. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:263-70.

41. Kataoka Y, Wolski K, Uno K, et al. Spotty calcification as a marker of accelerated progression of coronary atherosclerosis: insights from serial intravascular ultrasound. J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;59:1592-7.

42. Motoyama S, Kondo T, Sarai M, et al. Multislice computed tomographic characteristics of coronary lesions in acute coronary syndromes. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:319-26.

43. Vengrenyuk Y, Cardoso L, Weinbaum S. Micro-CT based analysis of a new paradigm for vulnerable plaque rupture: cellular microcalcifications in fibrous caps. Mol Cell Biomech 2008;5:37-47.

44. Maldonado N, Kelly-Arnold A, Vengrenyuk Y, et al. A mechanistic analysis of the role of microcalcifications in atherosclerotic plaque stability: potential implications for plaque rupture. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2012;303:H619-28.

45. Mauriello A, Servadei F, Zoccai GB, et al. Coronary calcification identifies the vulnerable

142

patient rather than the vulnerable Plaque. Atherosclerosis 2013;229:124-9.

46. Mintz GS, Pichard AD, Popma JJ, et al. Determinants and correlates of target lesion calcium in coronary artery disease: a clinical, angiographic and intravascular ultrasound study. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:268-74.

47. Otsuka F, Finn AV, Virmani R. Do vulnerable and ruptured plaques hide in heavily calcified arteries? Atherosclerosis 2013;229:34–7.

48. Okazaki S, Yokoyama T, Miyauchi K, et al. Early statin treatment in patients with acute coronary syndrome: demonstration of the beneficial effect on atherosclerotic lesions by serial volumetric intravascular ultrasound analysis during half a year after coronary event: the ESTABLISH Study. Circulation 2004;110: 1061-8.

49. Hiro T, Kimura T, Morimoto T, et al. Effect of intensive statin therapy on regression of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome: a multicenter randomized trial evaluated by volumetric intravascular ultrasound using pitavastatin versus atorvastatin (JAPAN-ACS [Japan assessment of pitavastatin and atorvastatin in acute coronary syndrome] study). J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:293-302.

50. Nicholls SJ, Ballantyne CM, Barter PJ, et al. Effect of two intensive statin regimens on progression of coronary disease. N Engl J Med 2011; 365:2078-87.

51. Puri R, Nicholls SJ, Shao M, et al. Impact of statins on serial coronary calcification during atheroma progression and regression. J Am Coll Cardiol 2015;65:1273–82.

52. Anand DV, Lim E, Darko D, et al. Determinants of progression of coronary artery calcification in type 2 diabetes role of glycemic control and in-flammatory/vascular calcification markers. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;50:2218–25.

53. Saremi A, Bahn G, Reaven PD. Progression of vascular calcification is increased with statin use in the Veterans Affairs Diabetes Trial (VADT). Diabetes Care 2012;35:2390-2.

54. Banach M, Serban C, Sahebkar A, et al. Impact of statin therapy on coronary plaque composition: a systematic review and meta-analysis of virtual histology intravascular ultrasound studies. BMC Med 2015;13:229.

55. Budoff MJ, Lane KL, Bakhsheshi H, et al. Rates of progression of coronary calcium by electron beam tomography. Am J Cardiol 2000;86:8-11.

56. Achenbach S, Ropers D, Pohle K, et al. Influence of lipid-lowering therapy on the progression of coronary artery calcification: a prospective evaluation. Circulation 2002;106:1077-82.

57. Raggi P, Davidson M, Callister TQ, et al. Aggressive versus moderate lipid-lowering therapy in hypercholesterolemic postmenopausal women: Beyond Endorsed Lipid Lowering with EBT Scanning (BELLES). Circulation 2005;112: 563-71.

58. Nakano M, Yahagi K, Yamamoto H, et al. Additive Value of integrated backscatter IVUS for detection of vulnerable plaque by optical frequency domain imaging: an ex vivo autopsy study of human coronary arteries. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2016;9:163–72.

59. Torii S, Nakazawa G, Ijichi T, et al. Simultaneous intravascular ultrasound usage overcomes misinterpretation when evaluating lipid-rich plaques with optical frequency domain imaging ex vivo study. Circ J 2015;79:2641-7.

60. Ijichi T, Nakazawa G, Torii S, et al. Evaluation of coronary arterial calcification—ex-vivo assessment by optical frequency domain imaging. Atherosclerosis 2015;243:242-7.

61. Saita T, Fujii K, Hao H, et al. Histopathological validation of optical frequency domain imaging to quantify various types of coronary calcifications. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2017;18:342-9.

62. Lee JB, Mintz GS, Lisauskas JB, et al. Histopathologic validation of the intravascular ultrasound diagnosis of calcified coronary artery nodules. Am J Cardiol 2011;108:1547-51.

63. Puri R, Libby P, Nissen SE, et al. Long-term effects of maximally intensive statin therapy on changes in coronary atheroma composition: insights from SATURN. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2014;15:380-8.

64. Kubo T, Maehara A, Mintz GS, et al. The dynamic nature of coronary artery lesion morphology assessed by serial virtual histology intravascular ultrasound tissue characterization. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;55:1590–7.

65. Dohi T, Mintz GS, McPherson JA, et al. Nonfibroatheroma lesion phenotype and long-term clinical outcomes: a substudy analysis from the PROSPECT study. J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2013;6: 908-16.

66. Blaha MJ, Mortensen MB, Kianoush S, Tota-Maharaj R, Cainzos-Achirica M. Coronary artery calcium scoring: is it time for a change in methodology? J Am Coll Cardiol Img 2017;10:923-37.

67. Hecht H, Blaha MJ, Berman DS, et al. Clinical indications for coronary artery calcium scoring in asymptomatic patients: expert consensus statement from the Society of Cardiovascular Computed Tomography. J Cardiovasc Comput Tomogr 2017;11:157–68.

68. Schmermund A, Baumgart D, Mohlenkamp S, et al. Natural history and topographic pattern of progression of coronary calcification in symptomatic patients: an electron-beam CT study. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2001;21:421-6.

69. Agarwal S, Cox AJ, Herrington DM, et al. Coronary calcium score predicts cardiovascular mortality in diabetes: diabetes heart study. Diabetes Care 2013;36:972-7.

70. Hoffmann U, Moselewski F, Nieman K, et al. Noninvasive assessment of plaque morphology and composition in culprit and stable lesions in acute coronary syndrome and stable lesions in stable angina by multidetector computed tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:1655-62.

71. Puchner SB, Liu T, Mayrhofer T, et al. High-risk plaque detected on coronary CT angiography predicts acute coronary syndromes independent of significant stenosis in acute chest pain: results from the ROMICAT-II trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014; 64-684–92.

72. Carr JJ, Jacobs DR Jr., Terry JG, et al. Association of coronary artery calcium in adults aged 32 to 46 years with incident coronary heart disease and death. JAMA Cardiol 2017;2:391-9.

73. Narula J, Nakano M, Virmani R, et al. Histopathologic characteristics of atherosclerotic coronary disease and implications of the findings for the invasive and noninvasive detection of vulnerable plaques. J Am Coll Cardiol 2013;61:1041-51.

74. Yahagi K, Kolodgie FD, Otsuka F, et al. Pathophysiology of native coronary, vein graft, and instent atherosclerosis. Nat Rev Cardiol 2016;13: 79-98.

KEY WORDS calcification, imaging, pathology, stable plaque, unstable plaque